

REMARKS

ELECTION WITH TRAVERSE

5 In response to the office action mailed on September 25, 2007, applicant responds as follow:

It appears that the Office formed the following groups in the office action:

Group I: Claims 1-22 and 38-42;

Group II: Claims 23-32; and

10 Group III: Claims 33-37.

The claims of Group I were all classified in class 463, subclass 30. The claims of Group II were classified in class 463, subclass 16. The claims of Group III were classified in class 463, subclass 7.

15 Applicant traverses the restriction with regard to Group III. Group III is directed to a gaming apparatus. No player input is recited in these claims and Group III does not require separate search or examination from Group I. Accordingly, the restriction of Group III is improper.

In compliance with requirements, applicant elects Group I.

In re application of: Seelig et al.

Serial Number: 10/810,175

Page 3

CONCLUSION

For all of the above reasons, the applicant submits that the present application is in condition for allowance. If the examiner has any questions regarding the application or this response, the examiner is encouraged to call the applicant's attorney, Ian F. Burns, at (775) 826-

5 6160.

Respectfully submitted,

10

/ian f burns/

Ian F. Burns, Attorney for Applicant(s)
Registration Number: 33,297